

**Record of proceedings dated 19.11.2020**

O. P. No. 30 of 2020

M/s. GMR Energy Trading Limited Vs. TSPCC & TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking reimbursement of late payment charges for delayed payments to the petitioner.

Sri Matrugupta Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri K. Satish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner briefly explained the claim made in the petition. The representative of the respondents sought time for filing counter affidavit as the matter is coming up for the first time. Considering the request of the respondents, for which the petitioner has no objection, the matter is adjourned. The counter affidavit shall be filed on or before 14.12.2020 duly serving a copy of it to the counsel for the petitioner through email / post. The counsel for the petitioner stated that he is willing to serve another copy of the petition to the respondents as the representative of the respondents stated that no petition copy has been served by the petitioner.

Call on 17.12.2020 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. (SR) No.26 of 2020

M/s. Sri Sai Ram Ice Factory Vs. TSSPDCL & its Officers

Petition filed seeking penal action against the TSSPDCL and its officers for the alleged violation of the tariff for 2018-19 as extended to 2020-21 by raising incorrect bills.

Ms. Nishtha, Representative of the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri K. Satish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner briefly explained the claim made in the petition. The representative of the respondents sought time for filing counter affidavit as the matter is coming up for the first time. Considering the request of the respondents, for which the petitioner has no objection, the matter is

adjourned. The counter affidavit shall be filed on or before 14.12.2020 duly serving a copy of it to the counsel for the petitioner through email / post.

Call on 17.12.2020 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 38 of 2019  
&  
I. A. (SR) No. 41 of 2019  
in  
O. P. No. 61 of 2018

TSSPDCL Vs. M/s. Sarvotham Care

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 61 of 2018 passed by the Commission by modifying the relief [44(b)] of the order directing adjustment of unutilized energy (banked energy for the period from 30.11.2015 to 13.01.2016) to the developer as per subsisting Regulation 2 of 2014.

I. A. filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the review petition.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri K. Satish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL for the review petitioner and Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the respondent have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs time for filing his response to the petition. The representative of the review petitioner stated that the review petition is filed for the review of the order and condoning the delay in filing the review petition. However, he stated that he has no objection for granting time to file the counter affidavit by the respondent.

The Commission agreed to the request of the parties and adjourned the matter. The respondent shall file his counter affidavit on or before 11.12.2020 duly serving a copy of it to the review petitioner through email / post.

Call on 17.12.2020 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 39 of 2019  
&  
I. A. (SR) No. 42 of 2019  
in  
O. P. No. 46 of 2018

TSSPDCL Vs. M/s.Medak Solar Project Private Ltd.

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 46 of 2018 passed by the Commission by modifying the relief [43(b)] of the order directing adjustment of unutilized energy (banked energy for the period from 31.12.2016 to 19.04.2017) to the developer as per subsisting Regulation 2 of 2014.

I. A. filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the review petition.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri K. Satish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL for the review petitioner and Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the respondent have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs time for filing his response to the petition. The representative of the review petitioner stated that the review petition is filed for the review of the order and condoning the delay in filing the review petition. However, he stated that he has no objection for granting time to file the counter affidavit by the respondent.

The Commission agreed to the request of the parties and adjourned the matter. The respondent shall file his counter affidavit on or before 11.12.2020 duly serving a copy of it to the review petitioner through email / post.

Call on 17.12.2020 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 25 of 2020

M/s Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Limited Vs SLDC, TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (c) read with section 86 (1) (k) of Electricity Act, 2003 seeking directions to the respondents to approve short term open access.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner, Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri K. Satish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL for respondent No. 3 have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the

petitioner stated that the counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents and a rejoinder to the same is filed by the petitioner. The representative of the respondent No. 3 sought further time as he did not receive the rejoinder filed by the petitioner.

Considering the submissions of the rival parties, the matter is adjourned for arguments.

Call on 11.12.2020 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 26 of 2020

M/s. Arhyama Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. vs TSSPDCL, CGM (Revenue), SAO (Operation Circle), Sanganareddy & SAO (Operation Circle), Medchal.

Petition filed seeking punishment against the respondents No.1 to 4 for non-compliance of the order dated 17.07.2018 in O. P. No. 10 of 2017 passed by the Commission.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri K. Sathish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL for respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the respondents in the petition have filed a review petition alongwith an application for condoning the delay in filing the review petition. Both the matters can be heard together and the petitioner in this case has filed its counter affidavit to the application for condoning the delay in filing the review petition. The representative of the respondents sought further time for filing counter affidavit in this petition. He also stated that the matter may be adjourned by three weeks so as to enable the respondents to be ready with the arguments by filing counter affidavit in this matter, to which the counsel for the petitioner has no objection.

The Commission agreeing to the request of both the parties, required the respondents to file their counter affidavit in this petition on or before 03.12.2020 and adjourned the matter. The respondents shall file its counter affidavit on or before 03.12.2020 by serving a copy of it to the petitioner through email / post.

Call on 11.12.2020 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 134 of 2018  
&  
I. A. (SR) No. 7 of 2020  
in  
O. P. No. 10 of 2017

TSSPDCL Vs M/s. Arhyama Solar Power Private Limited

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 17.07.2018 passed in O. P. No. 10 of 2017 filed by the respondent.

I. A. filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the review petition.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL alongwith Sri. K. Sathish Kumar, DE of TSSPDCL for the review petitioner and Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the respondent have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the respondent stated that the respondent has filed the counter affidavit in respect of the application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition. He also highlighted the contents of the counter affidavit. The representative of the review petitioner stated that since the counter affidavit in respect of the connected original petition is yet to be filed, therefore, this review petition may also be adjourned so as to have a comprehensive hearing on the subject. The counsel for the respondent in the review petition has no objection.

The Commission agreed to the request of both the parties and adjourned the matter. The counsel for the respondent will make available a copy of the counter affidavit filed in the application to the review petitioner forthwith.

Call on 11.12.2020 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman